09/440,692 MOTOYAMA ET AL. Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner Syed Zia 2155 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Surinder Sachar. (1) Syed Zia. (4)_____. (2) Philip Tran. Date of Interview: 13 May 2003. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) 🔀 No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: / . Identification of prior art discussed: ______ Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. 1) Apphrant further observed the mounton in detail and with 2 suferior to coted art. Apphrant suformed that based on obstassion he will send unendual. Examiner will I beared and examine the

Application No.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

amendment (y apph cable).

Examiner's signature, if required

Applicant(s)